

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,982	04/05/2004		Thomas P. Hansen	HANP101aUS	2981
24041	7590	04/03/2006		EXAMINER	
SIMPSON		ON, PLLC	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
5555 MAIN STREET WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,			1772	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,982	HANSEN, THOMAS F	٠.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Thomas	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON's statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matte		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examplication may not request that any objected to by the cathor of the drawing sheet(s) including the cathor of the cathor	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. aminer. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand or	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B. * See the attached detailed Office action for an application. 	ments have been received. ments have been received in Aperiority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/708,982

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. The amendment filed 3/20/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the term "drilled" in paragraph [0030] is not supported by the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: the dependency of claim 19 needs to be corrected. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tripp ('630) in view of Willis 4,477,059. The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a plurality of flexible strips helically wound upon one another to form a post or pole; see Figure 6, column 2, lines 33-38, column 3, lines 16-31 and column 3, lines 57-59. However, it does not disclose a transverse hole through the pole. The secondary reference discloses placing a transverse hole and a

Art Unit: 1772

polymers.

hollow tube through a post in order to form a fence; see Figure 4 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a transverse hole and place a hollow tube through the post in the product of the primary reference in view of the secondary reference, depending on the end use of the post, for example to form a fence. The primary reference also does not disclose encasing the post or pole. The primary reference does disclose encasing other embodiments (see for example Figures 7-12) and, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to encase the tubular member of the reference to provide additional strength and weather resistance. Concerning claim 5, the primary reference discloses the use of adhesive to adhere together the belts in the tubular article. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polymer adhesive as the adhesive in the article of the primary reference since it is well known in the adhesive art to form adhesives from

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,982

Art Unit: 1772

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olefandy S. Wenne